SHARTSIS FRIESE LLP ONE MARITIME PLAZA EIGHTBENTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SHARTSIS FRIESE LLP ROBERT CHARLES WARD (Bar #160824) rward@sflaw.com FELICIA A. DRAPER (Bar #242668) fdraper@sflaw.com One Maritime Plaza, Eighteenth Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 421-6500 Facsimile: (415) 421-6500 Facsimile: (415) 421-2922 Attorneys for Defendant TRG CUSTOMER SOLUTIONS, INC. d/b/a TELESPECTRUM UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION DAVID KECK, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, V. BANK OF AMERICA, a Delaware corporation; CENTRAL STATES				
	18 19	Nebraska corporation; CSI PROCESSING LLC, a Nebraska company; TRG CUSTOMER SOLUTIONS, INC. d/b/a TELESPECTRUM,				
	20	Defendants.				
	21					
	22	Defendant TRG Customer Solutions, Inc. d/b/a Telespectrum ("Defendant") alleges:				
	23	1. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 1.				
	24	2. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 2 for lack of information and belief.				
	25	3. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 3.				
	26	4. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 4 for lack of information and belief.				
	27	5. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 5 for lack of information and belief.				
	28	6. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 6 for lack of information and belief.				
		Case No. TRG CUSTOMER SOLUTION	- 1 - ONS, INC.'S ANSWER TO SECOND			
			ED COMPLAINT			

Case 3	3:08-cv-	·01219-CRB	Document 43	Filed 07/23/2008	Page 2 of 6
	7.	Defendant den	ies the allegations	of paragraph 7 for lack	of information and belief.
	8.				of information and belief.
			_		
	9.		C	1 0 1	of information and belief.
	10.		nits the allegations		
	11.		ies the allegations		
	12.	Defendant der	nies the allegation	s of paragraph 12 fo	r lack of information and
belief.					
	13.	Defendant der	nies the allegation	s of paragraph 13 for	r lack of information and
belief.					
	14.	Defendant der	nies the allegation	s of paragraph 14 fo	r lack of information and
belief.					
	15.	Defendant der	nies the allegation	s of paragraph 15 fo	r lack of information and
belief.					
	16.	Defendant der	nies the allegation	s of paragraph 16 fo	r lack of information and
belief.					
	17.	Defendant der	nies the allegation	s of paragraph 17 fo	r lack of information and
belief.			C	1 2 1	
0011011	18.	Defendant der	nies the allegation	s of naragraph 18 fo	r lack of information and
belief.	10.	Defendant der	nes the anegation	is of paragraph to to	i lack of information and
bellet.	10	D-f11	-:44141-:-4:CC		one destine cell. Defendent
	19.		-	eceived at least one tele	emarketing call. Defendant
denies		r allegations in	1 0 1		
	20.	Defendant adn	nits that an audio	record was made of a	call with plaintiff, but the
transcr	ription is	s incomplete. D	Defendant denies al	ll other allegations in th	iis paragraph.
	21.	Defendant der	nies the allegation	s of paragraph 21 for	r lack of information and
belief.					
	22.	Defendant der	nies the allegation	s of paragraph 22 fo	r lack of information and
belief.					

23.

Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 23 for lack of information and

	9
	10
11	11
LOOR CA 941	12
ISCO, 0	13
EIGHTEENTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 9411	14
EI SAN F	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26

belief.	
24.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 24 for lack of information and
belief.	
25.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 25 for lack of information and
belief.	
26.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 26 for lack of information and
belief.	
27.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 27 for lack of information and
belief.	
28.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 28.
29.	Defendant admits generally that the statutes cited govern defendant's practices.
Defendant de	enies all other allegations in this paragraph.
30.	Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 30.
31.	Defendant admits that the partial quotation from the regulation is accurate.
32.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 32 for lack of information and
belief.	
33.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 33 for lack of information and
belief.	
34.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 34 for lack of information and
belief.	
35.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 35 for lack of information and
belief.	
36.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 36 for lack of information and
belief.	
37.	Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 37.
38.	Defendant admits that its telemarketing calls are made pursuant to standardized
scripts and p	ractices and that it provides similar training to its telemarketers. Defendant denies all
other allegat	ions in paragraph 38.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

58. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 58. 59. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 59. 60. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 60. Case No. TRG CUSTOMER SOLUTIONS, INC.'S ANSWER TO SECOND CV 08-1219 CRB AMENDED COMPLAINT

25

26

27

28

- 61. Defendant incorporates its denials and admissions of paragraphs 1 through 60 above.
- 62. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 62 for lack of information and belief.
- 63. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 63 for lack of information and belief.
- 64. Defendant admits that the quotation is accurate and denies all other allegations in paragraph 64.
 - 65. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 65.
 - 66. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 66.
 - 67. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 67.
 - 68. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 68.
 - 69. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 69.
- 70. Defendant incorporates its denials and admissions in paragraphs 1 through 69 above.
- 71. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 71 for lack of information and belief.
- 72. Defendant admits that the quotations are accurate and denies all other allegations in paragraph 72.
 - 73. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 73.
 - 74. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 74.
 - 75. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 75.
- 76. Defendant incorporates its denials and admissions of paragraphs 1 through 75 above.
 - 77. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 77.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

78. Consent: Plaintiff consented to all actions based on which he purports to state his claims.

- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25
- 79. Wrong Party: To the extent that Plaintiff may have suffered some injury, if at all, a party other than Defendant is responsible for such wrongdoing.
- 80. No Causation: Any injuries to Plaintiff were not proximately caused by the actions of Defendant.
- 81. No Injury: Plaintiff has not suffered any legally cognizable damages and was already made whole by defendant Bank of America.
- 82. Defendant as agent for Bank of America, adopts and incorporates all affirmative defenses pleaded by Bank of America.

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays for judgment as follows:

- 1. The Plaintiff take nothing by way of his complaint and his claims dismissed with prejudice;
 - 2. For costs of suit; and
 - 3. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

TRG Customer Solutions, Inc. d/b/a Telespectrum demands a trial by jury.

DATED: July 23, 2008 SHARTSIS FRIESE LLP

By: /s/ Robert Charles Ward

ROBERT CHARLES WARD

Attorneys for Defendant TRG CUSTOMER SOLUTIONS, INC. d/b/a TELESPECTRUM

7710\001\1522599.1

26

2728

- 6

I, Janis L. Ing, declare:

3 4

6

5

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

Case No. CV 08-1219 CRB

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am a citizen of the United States and employed in the City and County of San Francisco, California by Shartsis Friese LLP at One Maritime Plaza, Eighteenth Floor, San Francisco, California 94111. I am over the age of eighteen years and am not a party to the within-entitled action.

On July 23, 2008, at Shartsis Friese LLP located at the above-referenced address, and, pursuant to California Rules of Court, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and local rules, I served on the interested parties in said cause a copy of the within document(s):

TRG CUSTOMER SOLUTIONS, INC.'S ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

×	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereor
	fully prepaid in accordance with the firm's practice, of which I am familiar, or
	collection and processing correspondence for mailing on the same day to the
	person(s) at the address(es) set forth below:

by consigning the document(s) listed above to an express delivery service for guaranteed delivery on the next business day to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below:

by personal delivery by messenger service of the document(s) above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below:

by facsimile transmission on this date before 5:00 p.m. (PST) of the document(s) listed above from sending facsimile machine main telephone number (415) 421-2922, and which transmission was reported as complete and without error (copy of which is attached), to facsimile number(s) set forth below: (\square by agreement / \square not by agreement)

by electronically delivering the document(s) listed above on this date from electronic address sflaw.com, and after which transmission I did not receive within a reasonable time any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful, to electronic mail address(es) set forth below: (□ by agreement / □ not by agreement)

Alan R. Brayton Charlotte Elizabeth Scott Brayton & Purcell 222 Rush Landing Road Novato, CA 94945

PROOF OF SERVICE

	Case 3:08-cv-01219-CRB Document 43-2 Filed 07/23/2008 Page 2 of 2
1	Thomas E. Gilbertsen
2	Kelley Drye & Warren LLP 3050 K Street, N.W.,
3	Suite 400
4	Washington, DC 20007 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
5	foregoing is true and correct.
6	Executed on July 23, 2008, in San Francisco, California.
7	Theodica off tally 23, 2000, in ball I tallotto, California.
8	Konny to/
.9	Janis L. Ing
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Case No. PROOF OF SERVICE
	CV 08-1219 CRB

SHARTSIS FRIESE LI.P ONE MARITIME PLAZA EIGHTEENTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111